Attendees: J. Silva (UCB), Julie Lefevre (UCB), Rebecca Hyde (UCD), Yvonne Wilson (UCI), Emily Stambaugh (CDL).

Needs/Questions/Ideas
Infrastructure: cataloging, ILL, communication channels, etc
What is the infrastructure on each campus?
Campus commitments needed
Master List of Agencies--Is this a selected list? Does it specify the type of document within a classification? See the ASERL Center’s of Excellence Expressions of interest list.
State Library Involvement when needed to determine the existence and dependable access to US documents.

ASERL as a model?
UC could look at ASERL-like agreements. Campuses pick agencies/departments to be the “center for excellence” for. This does not mean it is all the campus can select, but does mean the campus is willing to be responsible for the collection from this agency/department in the long term. This does not restrict any campus from selecting other agency documents. This will mainly affect agencies and publications that have not been sent to an RLF. There may be an emphasis on post 1976, pre-internet (1976-GPO Access), and post internet including Marcive without shelves or internet content from selected agencies. ASERL has a category for “topic.” Collecting by topic may be more trouble than worth?

What is in the RLF’s is considered shared collection, and when this new policy is in place, its from that point moving forward.
When the policy is in place, a campus wanting discard something will offer first to the UC and State Library, then follow the rest of the procedures for discard—this may need state library’s blessing before put in place.

Analysis needed:
What is in the RLFs? This is the first question. Determine the criteria needed to run a list to identify US agencies and titles in the RLFs. The RLF holdings are represented in the UCLA and Berkeley catalogs. The same list criteria may be used on each campus to determine what they own. However, not everything may be cataloged on the campuses. Tities at the RLF’s, how much linear feet, approx? Jesse can do a title/volume count for NRLF and UCB.
UCSD Collection analysis-Rebecca can provide some details on the collection at UCSD (UCSD has about 10,277 linear feet of materials, though we are starting to weed. Not sure how many total items we have, but I’d be able to find out - RH)
Crossover of current FDLP selections
Cataloging issues-is everything cataloged?
Is what is at the RLF really at the RLF
What are campuses currently weeding? Any crossover? The outcome of the plan for retrospective federal government publications will lead to informed decisions for deselection.

Policies and points to investigate:
Review all previous agreements, reports, etc that GILS has done: [http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/wikis/ucgils/index.php?n=Main.ProjectsAndReports](http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/wikis/ucgils/index.php?n=Main.ProjectsAndReports)
Shared Print in Place: [http://www.cdlib.org/services/collections/sharedprint/policies.html](http://www.cdlib.org/services/collections/sharedprint/policies.html)
Possible cost Proposals for CDL
MOU policy development-CDL can help with this
WAS Crawler needs?
Digital v. Paper for preservation; need to address the existence of current digital copies (e.g. Hathi) and why they are not good enough for preservation needs.
Top Priorities for digitization
Higher emphasis on keeping California/Western US related publications
Report needs a glossary.
Will need to provide a status update to SOPAG at some point.

Steps to move forward:
CDL and UCI have access to Ready Talk
Ask UL’s for funding for an inperson meeting. (Or at least for a teleconference of northern and southern campuses)